## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

REC'D	2 0 DEC 2	005
-------	-----------	-----

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

WIPO PCT

(PCT Artcle 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PG6049/PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	TION SeeNotificationofTransmittalofInternationalPreliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
International application No. PCT/KR2003/002855	International filing date(day/mo	nth/year)	Priority date (day/month/ye	ear)
International Patent Classification (IPC) IPC7 B82B 3/00				
Applicant  JEONG, Chul-sang et al			-	
and is transmitted to the applicar				ning Authority
2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.  This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).				
These annexes consist of a total	ofsheets.			
This report contains indications relating to the following items:  I Seasis of the report  II Priority  III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  IV Lack of unity of invention  V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VI Certain documents cited  VII Certain defects in the international application  VIII Certain observations on the international application			cability;	
Date of submission of the demand	Dat	e of completion of	of this report	
23 MARCH 2005	(23.03.2005)	06 DECEM	BER 2005 (06.12.2005)	
Name and mailing address of the IPE Korean Intellectual Prope 920 Dunsan-dong, Seo-g Republic of Korea	erty Office u, Daejeon 302-701,	thorized officer	•	(abid)
Facsimile No. 82-42-472-7140	Tel	ephone No. 82-	42-481-8151	

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/KR2003/002855

I. Basis of the report		
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*		
the international application as originally filed		
the description:		
pagespages	, as originally filed , filed with the demand	
pages, filed with the letter of		
the claims:		
pages	, as originally filed	
pages, as amended (together with pages	C1 . 1	
pages, filed with the letter of		
the drawings:		
pages	, as originally filed , filed with the demand	
pages, filed with the letter of		
the sequence listing part of the description:		
pages	, as originally filed	
pages, filed with the letter of	, filed with the demand	
2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this A	authority in the language in which	
the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following languageE_T	nalish which is	
the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule	£ 23.1(0)).	
the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary ex	reminetion (under Pules 55.2 and/	
or 55.3).	ammanon (under Rules 33.2 and	
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international a	application, the international	
preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:		
contained in the international application in written form.		
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.		
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form		
The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disc losure in the international applicationas as filed has been furinshed.		
The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to been furnished.	the written sequence listing has	
4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
the description, pages		
the claims, Nos.		
the drawings, sheets		
5.		
This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, s go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box(Rule 70.2(c)).*	since they have been considered to	
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation in this opinion as "originally filed." and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain and 70.17).		
** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item I and anne.	xed to this report.	

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION

International application No.

PCT/KR2003/002855

II. Priori	ity
1.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the prescribed time limit the requested:
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(a)).
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(b)).
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rule 64.1).
Thus for	the purpose of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. Addi	itional observations, if neccessary:
applic applic aband priorit 00584	resent application is claimed based on the application KR 10-2003-0058489 which has been claimed based on the prior ration KR 10-2002-0050240 for the purpose of claiming a right of national priority. The priority claim of the subsequent ration can be acknowledged, only if the previous application claiming the same subject matter has been withdrawn, loned, or refused without having been laid open to public inspection, and has not yet served as a basis for claiming a right of try, at the time of filing the subsequent application. However the previous application of the present application, KR 10-2003-189 is based on KR 10-2002-0050240 for the purpose of claiming a right of national priority. Thus the priority claim of the national application cannot be acknowledged.
	·
1	
i	

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION

International application No.

PCT/KR2003/002855

٧.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1 - 16	YES
	Claims		<u>N</u> 0
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1 - 10, 12, 13, 15, 16	YES
	Claims	11, 14	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1 - 16	
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

The following document has been considered for the purpose of this report.

D1 = KR 2003-0075229 A(26 September 2003)

The present invention relates to a method for preparing nanocomposite solution, comprising the steps of preparing basic silica colloid aqueous solution; providing an electrolysis apparatus by installing a negative electrode containing aluminum and a positive electrode containing silver into the basic silica colloid aqueous solution; and forming nanocomposite by applying voltage to the respective electrodes, and said nanocomposite solution.

D1 relates a nano-composite emulsion composition comprising a water dispersible polyurethane nano-composite emulsion, an organic UV protecting agent and a silver colloid.

### I. Novelty and Inventive Step

None of the prior art documents including D1 teaches or suggests the subject matter of claims 1-16 of the present invention. Thus these claims are novel.

Claims 11 and 14 claim nanocomposite including silver particles with a size between 1 - 10 nm and silica particles with a size ranged 3 - 50nm; and nanocomposite solution including said nanocomposite and dispersion media. However D1 discloses a nano-composite emulsion composition including colloidal silica with a size ranged 10 - 100nm and silver colloid. Thus claims 11 and 14 are considered to be easily invented from D1. Therefore the above claims are not inventive.

#### II. Industrial Applicability

There is no reason for forming a negative opinion about the industrial applicability of this invention. Consequently, claims 1 – 16 appear to meet the requirement of PCT Article 33(4).